



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of

NIKANDER et al.

Atty. Ref.: 3772-27; Confirmation No. 2289

Appl. No. 10/557,750

TC/A.U. 2617

Filed: November 2, 2005

Examiner: Thao, Chhean K.

For: SECURE TRAFFIC REDIRECTION IN A MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

\* \* \* \* \*

September 3, 2009

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL**

In response to the Official Action dated June 5, 2009, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

Claims 23-30 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over previously applied Haverinen in view of newly-applied U.S. Patent 6,807,181 to Weschler. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner “agrees with Applicant that Haverinen may not specifically disclose the term ‘re-running’,” but goes on to argue that column 12, lines 55-56 in Figure 2 “clearly depict the re-run authentication procedure to ensure data security.” Applicants respectfully disagree.

The text in column 12 in Figure 2 describes a single authentication procedure. The mobile terminal sends a registration request with a new session key that ultimately ends with a registration reply “authenticated with the new session key K.” It is not understood how this